16974,0

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5

U.S.EPA COMMENTS ON DRAFT TIME CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION WORK PLAN DEAD CREEK SEDIMENT AND SOIL REMOVAL ACTION SAUGET AREA 1 SITE

General Comment: The work plan needs to include a plan for the inclusion of a command post for the removal action. Solutia has previously mentioned the intention to move the current Area 1 command post from its location at Site R (Area 2) to an area on Judith Lane near the proposed containment cell location. U.S. EPA would like to make this location known to the public in advance of the removal action and encourage the public to visit the command post should they have questions or concerns about the removal action. A point of contact from Solutia should also be named so that the public knows who to contact with questions. A sign should also be posted outside the command post that provides contact telephone numbers of Solutia and U.S. EPA representatives.

Specific Comments:

- 1. Section 3, Page 3-12, Subsection 3.5: For the sake of accuracy, it should be noted in the text that not only were PCBs "...used by industries throughout the Sauget and Cahokia area." but, they were produced at Monsanto's Krummrich Plant in Sauget.
- 2. Section 4, Page 4-2, Subsection 4.2: The second to last paragraph in this subsection reads: "Removal of sediment and soil will be terminated when 50,000 cubic yards are excavated and placed in the containment cell..." This statement needs clarification. The amount of contaminated sediment and soil needing removal should dictate the termination of the excavation activity, not the capacity of the cell. The Unilateral Order requires the excavation of sediments, based on a set of characterization criteria, from CS-B, CS-C, CS-D and CS-E. Anything less than this will not meet the requirements of the Order. Solutia may need to either strengthen the accuracy of their volume estimates and/or increase the size of the containment cell to account for a possible volume greater than 50,000 yards.
- 3. Section 4, Page 4-4, Subsection 4.3.2: The last sentence of this subsection requires further explanation. Why would an overflow structure be needed to allow rainwater to discharge into CS-B?
- 4. Section 4, Page 4-9, Subsection 4.3.5: The end point for excavation in CS-E is not very clear in this section. The southern end of CS-E is at Route 157. Also, the method for conducting the excavation within the parking lot for Parks College should be explained here. Dead Creek is subterranean at this point. The creek will need to be dug out. Will it be left open after the excavation?

Sight_checkreek_removal_up-comment

Also, earlier discussions between U.S. EPA and Solutia regarding the sediment removal action included excavating into the northern portions of CS-F - up to the Terminal Railroad crossing near Cargill Road. The mutual agreement was that the residential areas in Cahokia warranted the sediment removal action. The area from Route 157 to the Terminal Railroad crossing is clearly within the residential area of Cahokia. The extent of this removal action needs to be further clarified.

- 5. Section 6, Page 6-1, Subsection 6.0: The discussion regarding the use of earthen berms to divert stormwater away from Site M needs to include a contingency for managing this diverted stormwater so that it does not back up into the nearby homes on Walnut Street. It is in everyone's best interest to assure that the removal action does not cause any short-term adverse impact on the local residents. Stormwater diversion management should also apply to all other creek segments.
- **6. Section 7, Page 7-2, Subsection 7.1:** The final sentence of this subsection states: "Samples will be collected from 0 to 12 inches below the bottom of the excavated channel, TCLP extracted and the extract will be analyzed for TCL/TAL parameters and dioxins/furans." Please note that TCL/TAL should be analyzed on non-extracted sediment samples.
- 7. Section 8, Page 8-1: As a reminder, Solutia should keep in mind that the possibility exists that there may be a need to conduct further sediment/soil removal from Dead Creek during the later remedial action phase. The use of concrete mats during this removal action will possibly make this future work more difficult and expensive.
- 8. Section 10: Duration for the sediment dewatering is incorrect (should be 8 months instead of 12). Also, consideration should be given to transferring the sediments to the cell as soon as construction is substantially complete. If there are final components of the cell construction that would not interfere with placing the sediments into the cell then the transfer activity could possibly start early. Also, the end date for the cap construction has a typo (Oct. 39).